

ESTABLISHMENT OF DESIGN
STREAMFLOW HYDROGRAPH IN THE
ROMPIN RIVER BASIN

NURAINI BINTI MAHALI

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NURAINI BINTI MAHALI

ID Number : AA15296

Date :

ESTABLISHMENT OF DESIGN STREAMFLOW
HYDROGRAPH IN THE ROMPIN
RIVER BASIN

NURAINI BINTI MAHALI

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
B. Eng (Hons.) in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my great appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Jacqueline Isabella Anak Gisen for guiding me throughout the whole final year project. Her patience, encouragement and willingness to share her knowledge has helped me in completing the hydrologic modelling.

I would also like to extend my thanks to Miss Syeda Maria for the constructive recommendations on this project.

My grateful thanks are also extended to the Department of Irrigation and Drainage of Malaysia for providing the data necessary for the completion of the model.

Finally, I wish to thank my parents for their support and encouragement throughout my study.

ABSTRAK

Lembangan Sungai Rompin salah satu kawasan daerah di Malaysia yang sering terjejas oleh banjir. Terdapat dua jenis banjir yang berlaku di Lembangan Sungai iaitu banjir monsun dan banjir kilat. Sejak masalah banjir dikenakan kesan yang tinggi terhadap ekonomi sosial dan kesejahteraan seperti korban dan harta benda yang rosak. Adalah penting untuk memahami proses hidrologi yang melibatkan lembangan sungai untuk seterusnya mengurangkan isu banjir. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mensimulasikan, menentukan dan mengesahkan modul hidrografi dan membangunkan Curian IDF dan merancang hujan untuk Sungai Rompin. Model hidrologi dalam kajian ini adalah model HEC-HMS. Data hidrologi yang dikumpul untuk 6 stesen hujan dan 2 stesen aliran sungai dari tahun 1990 hingga 2013 digunakan sebagai data masukan untuk proses pengesahan dan ramalan. Corak hujan yang direka untuk stesen-stesen terpilih ditentukan untuk Interval Gelombang Purata (ARI) selama 2 tahun, 5 tahun, 10 tahun, 50 tahun dan 100 tahun berdasarkan Curves Frequency-Frequency-Intensity (IDF) yang dibangunkan. Untuk pemodelan hidrologi, hidrograf Unit Clark dipilih sebagai kaedah transformasi larian hujan untuk kajian ini di mana parameter T_c dan R dianggarkan menurut Prosedur Hidrologi 27 yang dibangunkan oleh Jabatan Pengairan dan Saliran (JPS) Malaysia. Nombor Curve SCS digunakan sebagai kekasaran permukaan, manakala untuk aliran asas dan aliran laluan, bulanan tetap digunakan. Melalui kajian ini, hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa model HEC-HMS dapat mensimulasikan aliran hidrograph sungai untuk Sungai Rompin semasa musim aliran tinggi. Ramalan pola aliran aliran masa depan untuk seluruh lembangan dibangunkan berdasarkan ARI yang ditetapkan. Hasil kajian ini adalah penting untuk dijadikan alat sokongan membuat keputusan untuk reka bentuk banjir tebatan. Selain itu, ia juga dapat memberikan jumlah air untuk kejadian hujan tertentu yang penting untuk operasi dan pengurusan di Sungai Rompin dan bekalan air banjir.

ABSTRACT

The Rompin River Basin one of the district area in Malaysia that often affected by flood. There are two types of floods occur in the River Basin namely the monsoon flood and flash flood. Since flood problems imposed high impact on the social economy and well-being such as casualties and properties damaged. It is important to understand the hydrological processes involved river basin to subsequently mitigate the flood issues. The purpose of this study are to simulate, calibrate and validate the hydrographical module and develop the IDF Curve and design rainfall for the Rompin River Basin. Hydrological model in this study was the HEC-HMS model. Hydrological data collected for 6 rainfall stations and 2 streamflow stations from 1990 to 2013 were used as the input data for verification and prediction processes. Designed rainfall patterns for the selected stations were determined for the Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) of 2 years, 5 years, 10 years, 50 years and 100 years based on the developed Intensity-Duration Frequency (IDF) Curves. For the hydrological modelling, Clark Unit hydrograph was selected as the rainfall runoff transformation method for this study in which the parameters T_c and R were estimated according to the Hydrology Procedure 27 developed by the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) Malaysia. The SCS Curve Number is used as the surface roughness, while for the baseflow and lag routing, constant monthly is used. Through this study, the results show that the HEC-HMS model can sufficiently simulate streamflow hydrograph for Rompin River Basin during high flow season. Prediction of future streamflow patterns for the entire basin were developed based on the designated ARI. The outcome of this study are important to serve as decision-making supporting tool for the design of flood mitigation. Besides, it can also provide water volume for a certain rainfall event which is essential for the operational and management in Rompin River Basin water supply and flood control.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives of Study	4
1.4 Scope of Study	4
1.5 Significance of Study	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Hydrology	6
2.3 Hydrological Cycle	7
2.4 Hydrological Characteristics	8

2.4.1	Rainfall	8
2.4.2	Runoff	9
2.5	Rainfall-Runoff Relationship	9
2.6	Hydrograph	9
2.7	Hydrological Modelling	10
2.7.1	Basin Model: Hydrological Procedure (HP27)	10
2.7.2	Precipitation Model: Thiessen Polygon	11
2.7.3	Control Specifications	12
2.7.4	Loss Method: SCS Curve Number Method	12
2.8	Intensity-Duration Frequency (IDF) Curve	12
2.9	Design Rainfall	13
2.10	Relationship between hydrograph with IDF Curve and Design Rainfall	13
2.11	Advantage of HEC-HMS	14
2.12	Flood History	14
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		16
3.1	Introduction	16
3.2	Methodology Flowchart	16
3.3	Preliminary Study	18
3.3.1	Selection of Study Area	18
3.3.2	Site Visit	18
3.4	Hydrological Data Collection	19
3.4.1	Hydrological Data	19
3.4.2	Curve Number Grid Map	25
3.5	Hydrological Modelling	25
3.5.1	Hydrological Scheme	25

3.5.2	Meteorological Model : Thiessen Polygon	27
3.5.3	Input Rainfall Data & Observed Streamflow Data	28
3.6	Calibration and Validation	28
3.7	Error Analysis	29
3.7.1	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	29
3.7.2	Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE)	29
3.8	Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Curve	30
3.9	Design Rainfall	30
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		31
4.1	Introduction	31
4.2	Hydrological Modelling Results	31
4.3	Calibration of Hydrological Model	32
4.4	Validation of Hydrological Model	34
4.5	Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Curve	36
4.6	Design Rainfall	40
4.7	Model Efficiency	55
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		56
5.1	Introduction	56
5.2	Recommendation	57
REFERENCE		58
APPENDIX A AVERAGE MONTHLY RAINFALL DATA		61
APPENDIX B RAINFALL AND STREAMFLOW DATA FOR HYDROLOGICAL MODEL		63
APPENDIX C HYDROLOGICAL MODEL PARAMETER		65

APPENDIX D MODEL EFFICIENCY DATA	68
APPENDIX E RAINFALL DATA FOR IDF CURVE	74
APPENDIX F RAINFALL TEMPORAL PATTERN DATA FOR DESIGN RAINFALL	80

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 : Flood Level in Rompin District (2007) Based on DID Report	2
Table 2.1 : Hydrologic element options available in HEC-HMS	10
Table 2.2 Record of Flood History Collected from DID (2013-2018)	15
Table 3.1 Rainfall stations	20
Table 3.2 Streamflow stations	20
Table 3.3 Summary of missing data	20
Table 3.4 Selected month for calibration and validation	21
Table 3.5 : Sub-Basin Data for HEC-HMS Model	26
Table 3.6 : Continous of Sub-basin Data for HEC-HMS	27
Table 3.7 : Method and Parameter used in HEC-HMS	27
Table 4.1 Calculation of Clark Unit Hydrograph coefficient	32
Table 4.2 Peak Discharge of Steamflow Hydrograph (Dec2000)	34
Table 4.3 Peak Discharge of Steamflow Hydrograph (Dec2010)	36
Table 4.4 Peak Discharge of Steamflow Hydrograph (Dec2012)	36
Table 4.5 Calibarated Parameter for IDF Curve of all rainfall stations	40
Table 4.6 : RMSE and NSE Result for Rompin River	55
Table 4.7 : RMSE and NSE Result for Keratong River	55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 : Hydrological Cycle	8
Figure 3.1 Methodology flow chart	17
Figure 3.2 : Rompin River Basin	18
Figure 3.3 The irrigation ditch in the paddy scheme of RRB	19
Figure 3.4 Average monthly rainfall graph for station 2828173 Kg Gambir	21
Figure 3.5 Average monthly rainfall graph for station 28290001 Ulu Sg. Chanis	21
Figure 3.6 Average monthly rainfall graph for station 2831179 Kg. Kedaik	22
Figure 3.7 Average monthly rainfall graph for station 2834001 Per Endau Rompin	22
Figure 3.8 Average monthly rainfall graph for station 3028001 Sg. Kepasing	23
Figure 3.9 Average monthly rainfall graph for station 3030178 P. Batu Bukit Raidan	23
Figure 3.10 Average monthly rainfall graph for total rainfall of the year all 6 rainfall stations	24
Figure 3.11 Location of Rainfall and Streamflow Stations	24
Figure 3.12 Curve Number Grid Map	25
Figure 3.13 : Hydrological Scheme of RRB from HEC-HMS Model	26
Figure 3.14 Thiessen Polygon from ArcGIS	28
Figure 4.1 Calibration Parameter	33
Figure 4.2 : Streamflow Hydrograph for Rompin River (Dec 2000)	33
Figure 4.3 : Streamflow Hydrograph for Keratong River (Dec 2000)	34
Figure 4.4 : Streamflow Hydrograph for Rompin River (Dec 2010)	35
Figure 4.5 : Streamflow Hydrograph for Keratong River (Dec 2010)	35
Figure 4.6 : Streamflow Hydrograph for Rompin River (Dec 2012)	35
Figure 4.7 : Streamflow Hydrograph for Keratong River (Dec 2012)	36
Figure 4.8 IDF Curve for Station 2828173 Kg Gambir	37
Figure 4.9 IDF Curve for Station 2829001 Ulu Sg. Chanis	37
Figure 4.10 IDF Curve for Station 2831179 Kg Kedaik	38
Figure 4.11 IDF Curve for Station 2834001 Per Endau Rompin	38
Figure 4.12 IDF Curve for Station 3028001 Sg. Kepasing	39
Figure 4.13 IDF Curve for Station 3030178 Batu Bukit Raidan	39
Figure 4.14 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI2) for Station 2828173 Kg Gambir	41
Figure 4.15 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI5) for Station 2828173 Kg Gambir	41
Figure 4.16 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI10) for Station 2828173 Kg Gambir	41
Figure 4.17 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI50) for Station 2828173 Kg Gambir	42

Figure 4.18 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI100) for Station 2828173 Kg Gambir	42
Figure 4.19 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI2) for Station 2829001 Ulu Sg. Chanis	42
Figure 4.20 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI5) for Station 2829001 Ulu Sg. Chanis	43
Figure 4.21 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI10) for Station 2829001 Ulu Sg. Chanis	43
Figure 4.21 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI50) for Station 2829001 Ulu Sg. Chanis	43
Figure 4.22 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI100) for Station 2829001 Ulu Sg. Chanis	44
Figure 4.23 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI2) for Station 2831179 Kg Kedaik	44
Figure 4.24 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI5) for Station 2831179 Kg Kedaik	44
Figure 4.25 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI10) for Station 2831179 Kg Kedaik	45
Figure 4.26 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI50) for Station 2831179 Kg Kedaik	45
Figure 4.27 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI100) for Station 2831179 Kg Kedaik	45
Figure 4.28 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI2) for Station 2834001 Per Endau Rompin	46
Figure 4.29 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI5) for Station 2834001 Per Endau Rompin	46
Figure 4.30 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI10) for Station 2834001 Per Endau Rompin	46
Figure 4.31 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI50) for Station 2834001 Per Endau Rompin	47
Figure 4.31 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI100) for Station 2834001 Per Endau Rompin	47
Figure 4.32 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI2) for Station 3028001 Kg Kepasing	47
Figure 4.33 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI5) for Station 3028001 Kg Kepasing	48
Figure 4.34 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI10) for Station 3028001 Kg Kepasing	48
Figure 4.35 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI50) for Station 3028001 Kg Kepasing	48
Figure 4.36 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI100) for Station 3028001 Kg Kepasing	49
Figure 4.37 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI2) for Station 3030178 P. Batu Bukit Raidan	49
Figure 4.38 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI5) for Station 3030178 P. Batu Bukit Raidan	49
Figure 4.39 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI10) for Station 3030178 P. Batu Bukit Raidan	50
Figure 4.40 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI50) for Station 3030178 P. Batu Bukit Raidan	50
Figure 4.41 Temporal Rainfall Pattern (ARI100) for Station 3030178 P. Batu Bukit Raidan	50
Figure 4.42 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI2 (Rompin River)	51

Figure 4.43 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI2 (Keratong River)	51
Figure 4.44 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI5 (Rompin River)	51
Figure 4.45 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI5 (Keratong River)	52
Figure 4.46 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI10 (Rompin River)	52
Figure 4.47 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI10 (Keratong River)	52
Figure 4.48 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI50 (Rompin River)	53
Figure 4.49 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI50 (Keratong River)	53
Figure 4.50 Generated Hydrograph for Design Storm of ARI100 (Rompin River)	53

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Catchment Area (kilometre square)
S	Weighted Slope of the Main Stream (kilometre)
L	Main Stream Length (kilometre)
R	Storage Coefficient (hour)
T _c	Time of Concentration (hour)
Q _B	Base flow (m ³ /s)
i	Average Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)
ARI	Average recurrence interval
T	ARI ($0.5 \leq T \leq 12$ month and $2 \leq T \leq 100$ year)
d	Storm duration (hours), $0.0833 \leq d \leq 72$
λ, κ, θ and η	Fitting constants dependent (Table 2. B1 in MSMA2)
y	observed discharge for RMSE calculation
yi	simulated discharge for RMSE calculation
Obs	Observed Discharge
Sim	Simulated Discharge
Omean	Mean of Observed Discharge

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CN	Curve Number
DEM 30m	Digital Elevation Model 30m
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
GIS	Geographic Information System
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Engineering Centre- Hydrologic Modelling System
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
HP-27	Hydrological Procedure 27
MSMA2	Urban Storm Water Management Manual for Malaysia 2
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RRB	Rompin River Basin
SCS CN	Soil Conservation Service Curve Number
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission
UH	Unit Hydrograph
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Malaysia is well-known for its tropical humid climate with year-round rainfall which contributes to the high rate of water resources (Tahir,2007). However, at some point or certain event, excessive water flow causing the occurrence of the floods. Pahang is a wet state and abounds with streams, even in gently undulating areas. This encourage agriculture activities at the low lying region. The streams passing through the basins serve as irrigation demands or ponds dug for agricultural activities. Pahang consists of several districts that are active in agriculture. Most of the agriculture irrigation schemes are located within the Rompin and Pekan District.

Rompin District is often effected by flood particularly during the Northeast Monsoon season which occurs between October to March (Suhaila and Jemain,2007). This phenomenon has been proven in the report IADP Rompin-Endau Scheme (Ranhill Consulting Sdn Bhd, 2011). The total flood prone areas in Rompin is reported be about 1100 km². According to DID flood report, the worst flood event happened in the Rompin District year 2007 where the highest water level was recorded at is 45 m that exceeding the danger level of 35.4m as shown in Table 1.1.

The unpredictable flood event in the Rompin District due to climate change has made it harder to design the hydrologic structure for flood mitigation and other purpose. Hence, Malaysia has produced several procedures and standards to be considered in future flood study such as Hydrological Procedure (HP1) and Urban Storm Water Management Manual for Malaysia (MSMA2). These hydrological procedures were used in this study to develop the IDF Curve and design temporal rainfall pattern. Furthermore,

Hydrological Procedure (HP27) was referred to establish hydrological modelling setup for the simulation of streamflow hydrographs in The Rompin River Basin.

Hydrological modelling required rainfall data as the input which was then transform into runoff subsequently generated the streamflow pattern. The most commonly used hydrological modelling that can be downloaded without charges is the is Hydrologic Engineering Centre – Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS) for determining runoff process and predicting streamflow patterns (Razi et.al., 2010).

With application of the HEC-HMS hydrologic model, the rainfall-runoff relationship can be obtained by producing a hydrograph (Tassew,2019). By utilising the rainfall and streamflow data collected from the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), a hydrological model was setup to represents the basin’s hydrological response to the streamflow pattern in the Rompin River. Despite streamflow pattern, the hydrologic model also provides water volume information which is important when dealing with potential drought or flood (Yusop et.al.,2007).

Table 1.1 : Flood Level in Rompin District (2007) Based on DID Report

River	Water Level (m)	Warning Level (m)	Danger Level (m)
River. Pukim	45.00	35.20	35.40
River. Keratong (Kg. Rekoh)	27.30	29.20	29.40
River. Keratong (Bkt. Serok)	22.98	23.70	23.90
River. Rompin (Kg. Kerpai)	5.10	3.00	3.20
Jln. Kg. Kurnia	1.60	2.20	2.40
River. Rompin (Kg. Gadak)	14.38	10.50	10.70
River. Rompin (Kg. Aur)	19.94	16.00	16.20
River. Rompin (Jam. Sabak)	3.32	2.20	2.40

1.2 Problem Statement

High precipitation brought by the Northeast Monsoon season to the Rompin River Basin between the month of October to March contributes huge amount of runoff into the river system. As the consequences, overflows and induced flood at river banks,

downstream and lowland areas which further lead to damage of properties and loss of human lives. Thus, flood mitigation study has become essential to seek for better solution in solving the flood issues. In flood study, hydrological information such as rainfall and streamflow data are crucial to estimate the amount of rainfall runoff. However, most of the rivers in Malaysia including the Rompin River Basin have very limited streamflow data that can be used for hydrograph analysis. This limitation consequently leads to the lacking of study flood since the analysis has become complicated when data for reference is insufficient. Additionally, there is very limited available flood study for the Rompin River Basin indicating restricted hydrological scheme module that can be referred to perform predictive simulation of the potential floods. Thus, the flood study in the Rompin River Basin would require an entirely new hydrological modelling setup for the streamflow hydrograph generation.

HEC-HMS hydrological model is the most widely used in conducting flood studies. The hydrological model is able to analyse the streamflow process and determine the rainfall-runoff processes in the Rompin River Basin. The HEC-HMS model includes various hydrologic analysis procedures such as infiltration, unit hydrographs and hydrologic routing. Moreover, for future estimation streamflow, HEC-HMS also includes necessary procedures for continuous simulation.

Considering the unpredictable rainfall pattern in the Rompin River Basin, there is a need to develop IDF Curve and design rainfall for this area and simulate the streamflow discharge for the Rompin River Basin. The MSMA2 and HP1 hydrological guidelines are useful in developing IDF Curve for all selected rainfall stations and design rainfall pattern in Rompin River Basin. For the Rompin District, only 4 readily available IDF Curve presented in MSMA2. Therefore, it is essential to develop IDF Curve for the other rainfall stations that not included in MSMA2.

Either than that, the limited information of flood prediction study in the Rompin River Basin makes it difficult to predict the potential flood in future. Lack of the rainfall pattern and streamflow hydrograph information, the area will be difficult to predict the flood situation in future. Design rainfall pattern is essential to generate the designed streamflow hydrograph that can be used in future flood study and helped policy makers

REFERENCE

- Archbishop Renato R. Martino. (2003). Water, an essential element for life. Retrieved from http://www.vatican.va/roman_curia/potential_councils/justpeace/documents/rc_pc_justpeace_doc_20020322_kyoto-water_en.html
- Bitew G. Tassew (2019), Hydrology. Article of Application of HEC-HMS Model for Flow Simulation in the Lake Tana Basin: The Case of Gilgel Abay Catchment, Upper Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia, 1-17. <https://www.mdpi.com/2306-5338/6/1/21/pdf-vor>.
- Chou, C., Chen, C.A., Tan, P.H., & Chen, K.T. (2012). Mechanisms for global warming Impacts on precipitation frequency and intensity. *Journal of Climate*, 25(9), 3291-3306. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-002391>.
- Crick,F. (2018). Definition, features, assumptions and limitations of a unit hydrograph. Retrived from <https://www.kenyaplex.com/resources/14177-definition-features-assumptions-and-limitations-of-a-unit-hydrograph.aspx>.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage, 2000. Manual Saliran Mesra Alam Malaysia. Vol. 5, Department of Irrigation and Drainage, USA.
- Dhami, B.S, & Pandey. A. (2013), Comparative review of recently developed hydrologic models. J. Indian Water Resour. Soc, 33(3). Retrieved from <https://www.iwrs.org.in/journal/jul2013/6jul.pdf>
- Hydrological Procedure No.1 (2010). Hydrological Procedure No 1. Estimation Of Design Rainstorm In Peninsular Malaysia, 11-13. <https://www.scribd.com/document/345435731/Hydrological-Procedure-No-01-HP-01-pdf>.
- Hydrological Procedure No.27 (2010). Hydrological Procedure No 27. Estimation of Design Flood Hydrograph Using Clark Method for Rural Catchments in Peninsular Malaysia, 11-13. <https://www.scribd.com/document/345435731/Hydrological-Procedure-No-27-HP-27-pdf>.
- Ian Watson. (1995). Hydrology: an environmental approach. Retrived from <https://books.google.com.my/books?id=amQ-DwAAQBAJ&pg=PT939&dq=Hydrologists+are+concerned+with+the+amount+of+surface+runoff+generated+in+a+watersheed+for+a+given+pattern,+and+attempts+have+been+made+to+analyse+historical+rainfall,+infiltration,+ve>

- Kharagpur. (2018). The science of surface and ground water. Retrieved from <http://nptel.ac.in/courses/Webcourse-contents/IITKharagpur/WaterResourceEngg/pdf/m2103.pdf>
- M. Ali et al. (2011), Landscape and Urban Planning. Journal of Simulation of the Impacts of Land-use Change on Surface Runoff of Lai Nullah Basin in Islamabad, Pakistan, 271-279. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016920461100199X>.
- Rahman et al. (2002), Evaluation of The Best Fit Distribution for Partial Duration Series Of Daily Rainfall in Madinah, West Of Saudi Arabia. Evolving Water Resources System: Understanding, Predicting and Managing Water-Society Interactions. IAHS-AISH. Proceeding and Repot. IAHS Press. 364: 159-163.
- Ramana Professor, G. (2014). Rainfall runoff modelling between TR-55 hydrologic watershed model and overland time of concentration model. *International Journal of Advances in Computer Science and Technology*, 3(3), 220-241. Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.646.3824&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Ranhill Consulting Sdn Bhd.(2011). IADP Rompin-Endau Scheme Report; 2011.
- Razi, M.A.M., Ariffin J., Tahir & Arish, N.A.M. (2010). Flood Estimation Studies using Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS) for Johor River, Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(11), 930-939. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2010.930.939>.
- Suhaila, J and A.A. Jemain (2007). Fitting daily rainfall amount in Malaysia using the normal transform distribution. *J. Applied Sci.*, 7: 1880-1886.
- Tahir, P.A and A.M. Ali (2007). Preparation of flood hazard map for kota tinggi town. East and South East Region Seminar on Flood Hazard Mapping.
- Urban Storm Water Management Manual for Malaysia Version 2 (2012), Urban Storm Water Management Manual for Malaysia 2nd Version, 34-36. <http://www.water.gov.my>.
- USACE Hydrologic Modelling System HEC-HMS, —Technical Reference Manual”. (2000), www.hec.usace.army.mil/software/hec-hms.
- Usda, U. S. D. of A. (1997). Time of Concentration. *National Engineering Handbook*, 29
- URIVERS Water Science School. (2016). Runoff (Surface water runoff). Retrieved from <https://water.uRivers.gov/edu/runoff.html>

- Watt, W. E., & Ander Chow, K. C. (1985). A general expression for basin lag time. *Can. J. Civ. Eng.*, 12(iii), 291–300. <https://doi.org/10.1139/l85-031>
- Weng Chan, N. (1997). Increasing flood risk in Malaysia; causes and solutions. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 6(2), 72-86. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09653569710164035>
- Wosten, H., & Ritzema, H. P. (2001). Land and water management options for peatland development in Sarawak , Malaysia. *Researchgate*, (January).
- Yendra, R. (2017). Spatial Analysis of Storm Behavior in Peninsular Malaysia during Monsoon Seasons, 12(10), 2559–2566.
- Yusop, Z., Chan, C. H., & Katimon, A. (2007). Runoff characteristics and application of HEC-HMS for modelling stormflow hydrograph in an oil palm catchment. *Water Science and Technology*, 56(8), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2007.690>
- Zin, W. Z. W., Jemain, A. A., & Ibrahim, K. (2013). Analysis of drought condition and risk in Peninsular Malaysia using Standardised Precipitation Index. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 111(3–4), 559–568. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-012-0682-2>
- Zorkeflee, A.H., H. Nuramidah and S.Y. Mohd, 2009. Integrated river basin management (IRBM): Hydrologic modelling using HEC-HMS for sungai kurau basin, Perak. Proceedongs of the International Conference on Water Resources, (ICWR'09), River Basin Management Society, pp: 1-7.